SUMMARY REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Date / Time of Incident:	May 2, 2018, 11:30 a.m.
Location of Incident:	XXXX S. Prairie Ave., Chicago, Illinois
Date / Time of COPA Notification:	May 7, 2018, 11:34 a.m.

After his arrest for exchanging suspect cocaine for money from a CPD member, the Complainant contacted COPA. After acknowledging that he had in fact sold suspect narcotics, the Complainant alleged that the CPD had improperly documented the transaction, without providing a basis for that allegation.

II. INVOLVED PARTIES

Involved Member #1:	Officer A, Star #XXXX, Employee ID #XXXX; Date of
	Officer A, Star #XXXX, Employee ID #XXXX; Date of Appointment: XX/XX 1995; Rank: Police Officer; Unit of
	Assignment: XXX, DOB: XX XX, 1966, MS
Involved Member #2:	Officer B, Star #XXXX, Employee ID #XXXX; Date of
	Appointment: XX/XX 1988; Rank: Police Officer; Unit of
	Assignment: XXX; DOB: XX XX, 1963; MB
Subject #1:	Subject 1, DOB: XX XX, 1979; MB

III. ALLEGATIONS

Officer	Allegation	Finding
Officer A	1. To the Complainant's knowledge, the accused	UNFOUNDED
	did not properly document the serial numbers of	
	the bills used in an undercover drug transaction.	
Officer B	1. To the Complainant's knowledge, the accused	UNFOUNDED
	did not properly document the serial numbers of	
	the bills used in an undercover drug transaction.	

IV. INVESTIGATION¹

A. Interview

Complainant, Subject 1, gave a **Digital Audio Recorded Interview** on May 9, 2018.² Subject 1 then described the circumstances of his May 2, 2018 arrest by the CPD, which took place at or near XXXX S. Prairie Ave. Subject 1 freely admitted that he had committed the criminal offense for which he had been arrested and charged – the intended delivery of a controlled substance. Subject 1 made no complaint of excessive force, improper search or seizure, or any other maltreatment by the CPD. Rather, he complained about his understanding of the methodology employed by CPD members in connection with his arrest, specifically, that arresting officers had not "scanned the money" used in the undercover transaction involved, apparently meaning that, to his knowledge, they had not properly documented the serial numbers of the bills used in the transaction.³

B. Documentary Evidence

In an **Arrest Report** dated May 2, 2018,⁴ CPD members described the arrest at issue, explaining that Subject 1 was arrested after he had been identified as the person who had delivered 0.8 grams of suspected crack cocaine to an undercover CPD member in exchange for \$40.00 in "1505 funds."⁵

V. ANALYSIS / CONCLUSION

Subject 1's complaint does not include allegations of misconduct. COPA therefore makes the following findings:

Officer	Allegation	Finding
Officer A	1. To the Complainant's knowledge, the accused did not properly document the serial numbers of	UNFOUNDED
	the bills used in the undercover drug transaction	
Officer B	1. To the Complainant's knowledge, the accused did not properly document the serial numbers of the bills used in the undercover drug transaction	UNFOUNDED

¹COPA conducted a thorough and complete investigation. The following is a summary of the material evidence gathered and relied upon in our analysis.

³The rambling and disjointed nature of Subject 1's statements during his interview made it difficult to understand the basis of his actual issue or complaint with CPD. No complaint of misconduct can be discerned from the interview.

⁴Attachment #5

²Attachment #4 is an audio recording of that interview.

⁵The expression "1505 funds" is used to designate money seized by law enforcement agencies related to criminal misconduct. See 720 ILCS 570/505, formerly Ill.Rev.Stat., Ch. 56 1/2, par. 1505. *See also People v. Wilson*, 2014 IL App (1st) 130208-U (describing an undercover drug arrest made after the defendant accepted so-called "1505 funds" - a \$20.00 bill whose serial number the arresting officers had recorded prior to the transaction).

-	CII	JTI		N	UI	TTT	CE	Ω I	7 T	2	TI	F /	1	C	\cap I	IN	\mathbf{T}	A D	2TT	T	Гζ	7
٠,			/ H		\ / I	, , ,		\ / I	,	٠,		11, F	••		. , ,			•				4

LOG#1089369

Approved:	
Deputy Chief Administrator A – Chief Investigator	Date

Appendix A

Assigned Investigative Staff

Squad#: Squad #11

Investigator: Investigator A

Supervising Investigator: Supervising Investigator A

Acting Deputy Chief Administrator: Acting Deputy Chief Administrator A